

Postgraduate Scoring Scale

UNITED KINGDOM ASSOCIATION FOR TRANSACTIONAL ANALYSIS

Grade	DESCRIPTION: Work within the below mark ranges demonstrates the following characteristics:	Mark Range
A+ Exceptional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work is worthy of publication or dissemination as appropriate to the field. • Evidence of originality and independent thinking, as appropriate to the task. • Exceptional in-depth knowledge across specialised and applied areas of relevant literature, available information and conceptual issues. • The work is very well written and presents an excellent synthesis of appropriate available information, coherently structured. • Outstanding evidence of acquisition of relevant skills and their application. • Excellent presentation. 	93-100%
A. Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commanding knowledge of relevant literature, available information and conceptual issues appropriate to the field. • Demonstrates the ability to make good judgements, as appropriate to the assessment task. • The work is very well written and presents an excellent synthesis of appropriate available information, coherently structured. • Excellent evidence of acquisition of relevant skills and their application. • Excellent presentation. 	85-92%
B. Good Pass	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good knowledge of relevant literature, available information and conceptual issues appropriate to the field. • The work is well written and presents a good synthesis of appropriate available information, coherently structured. • Draws valid conclusions. • Good evidence of acquisition of relevant skills. • Very good presentation. 	75-84%
C. Competent Pass	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate understanding of relevant literature, available information and conceptual issues appropriate to the field. • Adequate synthesis of available information. • Work is coherent and adequately structured. • Evidence of acquisition of relevant skills. • Good presentation. 	65-74%
D. Fail/Defer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates clear but limited attempt to become acquainted with relevant source material and draw relevant conclusions, but draws conclusions that do not all follow logically from the work undertaken. • Work is not fully coherent, poorly structured, and indicates that key concepts are generally not understood. • Little evidence of acquisition of relevant skills. • Poor presentation. 	<65%